

1 HENRY A. PLATT (CSB No. 142304)
2 Email: hplatt@saul.com
3 SAUL EWING LLP
4 2600 Virginia Avenue, N.W.
5 Suite 1000 – The Watergate
6 Washington, D.C. 20037-1922
7 Tel.: 202.333.8800
8 Fax: 202.295.6776

9
10 Attorneys for Movant, John Doe

11
12
13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
14 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
15 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

16 GRISOFT, S.R.O.,
17 Requestor,
18 vs.
19 GOOGLE, INC.,
20 Witness.

21 Case No. 07-mc-80281-MMC-JCS

22 **REPLY BY JOHN DOE RE MOTION**
23 **TO QUASH SUBPOENA PURSUANT**
24 **TO 17 U.S.C. § 512(h)**

25
26 On or about December 13, 2007, Grisoft, S.R.O. (“Grisoft”) filed a Request with this
27 Court for the issuance of a subpoena to Google purportedly in accordance with 17 U.S.C. §
28 512(h). On February 1, 2008, Movant John Doe (“Doe”) moved to quash the subpoena issued by
Grisoft because Grisoft is attempting to misuse the subpoena process pursuant to the Digital
Millenium Copyright Act (“DMCA”), 17 U.S.C. § 512, *et seq.* On February 11, 2008, this
matter was referred to Magistrate Judge Joseph C. Spero for a decision regarding the Motion to
Quash.

1 On August 1, 2008, after several continuances requested by the parties in an unsuccessful
2 effort to amicably resolve the dispute, the parties filed a joint proposed briefing schedule with the
3 Court. On August 4, 2008, the Court “So Ordered” the proposed briefing schedule, ordering that
4 Grisoft file any opposition to Doe’s Motion to Quash no later than August 15, 2008.
5

6 To date, Grisoft has not filed any opposition to the Motion to Quash, and it has filed no
7 motion to extend the August 15, 2008 deadline. Accordingly, John Doe’s Motion to Quash is
8 unopposed and Movant respectfully submits that its motion should be granted for the reasons set
9 forth in John Doe’s Motion to Quash.
10

11
12 Respectfully submitted,

13 SAUL EWING LLP
14

15 Dated: August 21, 2008

16 By: _____/s/
Henry A. Platt

17 Attorneys for Movant
18 JOHN DOE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28